

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,747	NARAD, CHARLES E	
	Examiner Scott Christensen	Art Unit 2444	
All Participants: (1) Scott Christensen. (2) Dermot Miller (<u>Reg. No. 58,309</u>). Date of Interview: <u>29 June 2010</u>	Status of Application: _____		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	Time: _____		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>The examiner amendments, as approved by SPE William Vaughn on 6/29/2010, were presented and approved by Applicant</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<p>/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444 /S. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</p>			